

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE DISTRICT OF OREGON
EUGENE DIVISION

CECIL JEROME HATCHETT,)	
)	
Petitioner,)	
)	Civil No. 06-1023-TC
)	
v.)	
)	ORDER
JEAN HILL,)	
)	
Respondent.)	

Magistrate Judge Thomas M. Coffin filed Findings and Recommendation on December 21, 2011, in the above entitled case. The matter is now before me pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1)(B) and Fed. R. Civ. P. 72(b). When either party objects to any portion of a magistrate judge's Findings and Recommendation, the district court must make a de novo determination of that portion of the magistrate judge's report. See 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1);

McDonnell Douglas Corp. v. Commodore Business Machines, Inc.,
656 F.2d 1309, 1313 (9th Cir. 1981), cert. denied, 455 U.S. 920
(1982).

Petitioner has timely filed objections. I have, therefore,
given de novo review of Magistrate Judge Coffin's rulings.

I find no error. Accordingly, I ADOPT Magistrate Judge
Coffin's Findings and Recommendation filed December 21, 2011, in
its entirety. Petitioner's first amended petition (#70) is
denied. This proceeding is dismissed. The clerk of court is
directed to enter judgment accordingly.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

DATED this 29th day of Feb., 2012.


UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE